

Ernest Cory (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Alabama Bar No.: asb-2279-y83e
CORY WATSON, P.C.
2131 Magnolia Avenue
Birmingham, AL 35205
Telephone: (205) 328-2200
Facsimile: (205) 324-7896
Email: ecory@corywatson.com

Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

Joseph G. Petrosinelli (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
District of Columbia Bar No.: 434280
WILLIAMS AND CONNOLLY LLP
725 12th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-5000
Facsimile: (202) 434-5029
Email: jpetrosinelli@wc.com

Lead Counsel for Defendant, Pfizer Inc.

Michael X. Imbroscio (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
District of Columbia Bar No.: 445474
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One CityCenter
850 Tenth Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: (202) 662-6000
Facsimile: (202) 778-6000
Email: mimbroscio@cov.com

Lead Counsel for Defendant, Eli Lilly and Company

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

In re:

**VIAGRA (SILDENAFIL CITRATE) AND
CIALIS (TADALAFIL) PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

This Document Relates to: ALL ACTIONS

Master File No.: 3:16-md-02691-RS

**ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY
JUDGMENT AND ENTERING
FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF
DEFENDANTS**

1 Having reviewed the parties Joint Statement Regarding *Daubert* Order and Summary
 2 Judgment (“Joint Statement”), the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:


3 1. On January 13, 2020, the Court entered an Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part
 4 Motions to Exclude Expert Testimony [DE 1012] (“Daubert Order”). In the Daubert Order, the
 5 Court granted in part the *Daubert* motions of Defendants Pfizer Inc. and Eli Lilly and Company,
 6 excluding the general causation opinions of Plaintiffs’ experts. Daubert Order at 21.

7 2. In light of the Daubert Order, the parties agree, and the Court finds, that Defendants are
 8 entitled to and hereby are granted summary judgment in their favor as to all of Plaintiffs’
 9 claims. *See Nelson v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc.*, 592 F. Appx. 591, 592 (9th Cir. 2015) (“General
 10 and specific causation” “must be proven within a reasonable medical probability based upon
 11 competent expert testimony.”); *Cox v. Depuy Motech, Inc.*, 2000 WL 1160486, at *7 (S.D. Cal.
 12 March 29, 2000) (“Because plaintiff does not have an expert who can establish medical
 13 causation, an essential element of a products liability claim, plaintiff cannot withstand
 14 defendant’s motion for summary judgment on the issue of causation.”); *see also In re Zolof*
 15 *Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 858 F.3d 787, 800 (3d Cir. 2017) (affirming exclusion of general causation
 16 expert and entry of summary judgment).

17 3. Nothing in this Order shall be construed as a waiver of Plaintiffs’ or Defendants’
 18 appellate rights regarding the Daubert Order, and nothing in the Joint Statement should be
 19 construed as a waiver of any argument that the Plaintiff may have on appeal or in any further
 20 proceeding in this matter.

21
 22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23
 24 Dated: April 8, 2020

25

 26
 27 HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
 28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE